

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/057,134	Applicant(s) NOMURA, TOSHIHIRO
	Examiner Russell M Kober	Art Unit 2829

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Russell M Kober. (3) _____
 (2) Office of the Attorney of Record, Mr. James Hao. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 April 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Indefinite (see Substance of interview comments).

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW: See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Due to a problem during issue of the instant application, several attempts, during the period March 9, 2004 to April 27, 2004, to contact and discuss with Applicant's attorney, Mr. James Hao, appropriate action necessary to remedy this matter has been unsuccessful. The problem is related to three (3) misplaced lines located between claims 3 and 4 and appropriate action required to remedy this situation. It is not clear if the 3 lines in question should be adjoined, canceled or reconfigured in some manner within the listing of claims. To bring closure to this matter, Mr. Hao's secretary was contacted on April 27, 2004 to relay a message to Applicant's attorney, Mr. Hao, that if no response to the inquiry noted above is received by close of business (COB) on that day (4-27-2004), the Examiner will proceed, by Examiner's Amendment, to cancel these 3 lines from the listing of claims to expedite processing of the Application to issue. Mr. Hao's secretary acknowledged this notification and indicated that this information would be given to Applicant's attorney, Mr. James Hao. Since no further response has been received, cancellation of these 3 lines has been executed by Examiner's Amendment attached hereto.